

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

United States of America)

v.)

Deeorman Lee Saunders)

Date of Previous Judgment: July 12, 2001)

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))

Case No: 4:99CR70080-003USM No: 08240-084

Defendant's Attorney

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT LYNCHBURG, VA
FILED *In O'ville*
APR 25 2008
JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK
BY: *Fay Coleman*
DEPUTY CLERK

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 63 months is reduced to 60 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 25Amended Offense Level: 23Criminal History Category: IICriminal History Category: IIPrevious Guideline Range: 63 to 78 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 60* to 63 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The Government has objected to a reduction based on aspects of Defendant's pre- and post-sentencing conduct. Finding that the pre-sentencing conduct is adequately accounted for under the amended guideline range and that the post-sentencing conduct is adequately addressed by Bureau of Prisons procedures, and upon consideration of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10, the Government's objections are overruled and Defendant's sentence is reduced as provided above.

*Bottom of range is a statutory minimum

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 7/12/2001 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: April 25, 2008

Norman K. Moon

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Norman K. Moon, United States District Judge

Printed name and title